

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

pl

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/643,513	08/19/2003	Victor T, Chen	279.494US2	4511
21186 7:	590 01/27/2005		EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			LAYNO, CARL HERNANDZ	
P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLI	OX 2938 EAPOLIS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3762	
			DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			·					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/643,513	CHEN ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Carl H. Layno 1/2.5/	3762					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication							
Period for	or Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROVISION OF THE	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may and and a second and a reply within the statutory minimum of the priod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC attatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	29 November 2004.	•					
2a)	<u> </u>	This action is non-final.						
3)	,		tters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٥,۵	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the applica	ition.						
.,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	Claim(s) 28-34 is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	_							
7) 🖂	_							
8)	_							
Applicat	ion Papers							
اره	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner						
• —	☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 19 August 2003 is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
10)[2]	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.30(a).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
,	·	C Examinor. Note the attach						
-	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents.		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have been received in	Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies no	t received.					
Attachmen								
1) \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date					
3) 🛛 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Si er No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/29/04</u> .		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/643,513 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's amendment which was received by the Office on November 29, 2004.
- 2. Claims 1-38 are active and pending.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's Information Disclosure Statement (PTO-1449) which was received by the Office on November 29, 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. Upon further reconsideration of applicant's arguments and amendments to the claims, the Examiner is withdrawing the 35 U.S.C 102(e) rejection of Brandell '651, which was made against claims 1, 2, 8, 9, and 21 in the last Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,513

Art Unit: 3762

6. Claims 1-6, 8-13, 16, 21-24, 26, 27, and 35-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brandell '651 in view of DeGroot '975.

The Brandell '651 patent, cited by the Examiner in the last Office action, describes an implantable atrial defibrillator (Fig.1) incorporating many of applicant's claimed features including signal detection circuits (50, 52, 54, and 56), an atrial defibrillation circuit 74,76, a microprocessor circuit 58, and circuits for repetitively initiating a patient activated programmed delay 138 (Fig.3), it does not teach the use of a "therapy request threshold duration" in the manner claimed by the applicant. An externally located patient activator/programmer 100 (Fig.1) is in communication with the Brandell implanted device.

The DeGroot '975 patent also recites the details of an implantable atrial defibrillator 10 (Figs. 1 and 2A) capable of generating patient activated shock pulses and having similar structural features. Unlike the Brandell '651 device, the DeGroot device utilizes a timeout period T8 (Fig. 17 – decision block 970) to confirm the validity of the patient activated therapy request 966. This period reads upon applicant's "therapy request threshold duration", since upon expiration of this duration the DeGroot device goes on to charge its capacitors 980 and deliver defibrillation shocks 1008 to the patient following the expiration of delays (996, T9, T10).

To have incorporated a timeout duration, like **T8** of DeGroot, on the Brandell '651 device, would have been an obvious modification to one of ordinary skill in the art in order to confirm that a valid request for atrial defibrillation was indeed received by the implanted defibrillator.

In regard to claims 2, 9, and 22, applicant's attention is directed to blocks 122 and 124 (Fig.2) of Brandell.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,513

Art Unit: 3762

In regard to claims 3, 11, 23, 26, and 27, applicant's attention is directed to blocks **976,982** (Fig.17) of DeGroot, which teaches that the modified Brandell device incorporates circuits capable of uplinking notification messages to the patient activator once it has been confirmed that a valid therapy request was received.

Page 4

In regard to claims 4 and 10, applicant's attention is directed to transceiver **102** (Fig.1 of Brandell) which is capable of bi-directional communications between the implanted device and an external programmer.

In regard to claims 5, 6, 12, 13, and 24, applicant's attention is directed to element 63 (Fig. 1 – col.6, lines 32-39).

In regard to claim 16, external controller **100** of Brandell would inherently be equipped with a processor and attendant RF transceiver for generating and receiving messages from the implantable defibrillator **30** (Fig.1 – col.5, lines 44-58).

In regard to claims 35-37, the defibrillators of both Brandell '651 and DeGroot '975 may be activated by patient request through the use of magnetically operated Reed relay switches (element 63 [Fig.1] of Brandell and col.40, lines 39-42 of DeGroot). The modified implantable defibrillator of Brandell, with its associated "therapy request threshold duration" circuitry, and ability to generate delays prior to atrial shock delivery appear to read on applicant's claimed method steps.

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/643,513

Art Unit: 3762

7. Claims 7, 14, 15, 17-20, 25, and 38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected

Page 5

base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. Claims 28-34 are allowed.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Carl H. Layno whose telephone number is (571) 272-4949. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday from 9 AM to 6 PM and every

other Friday between 9AM and 5PM. A voice mail or E-mail message (carl.layno@uspto.gov)

may be left if desired.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Angela Sykes, can be reached on (571) 272-4955. All faxed correspondence should

be sent to the Office's Official FAX number (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE) Brenda Webb whose telephone number

is (571) 272-4399.

CARL LAYNO

PRIMARY EXAMINER

N. Loyo

CHL

1/25/2005